

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/764,279	Applicant(s) KUGLEN, FRANCESCA B.	
	Examiner Robyn Doan	Art Unit 3732	

All Participants:

(1) Robyn Doan.

(2) Mr. Beeson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 April 2010

Time: 1:00pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23,26,32,35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 26 is directed to a product by a process of making, therefore, the intermediate process is not given patentable weight. Claim 23 has been suggested to add limitations "threaded thereon" to further define how the beads being attached to the strands. Claims 32 and 35 have been suggested to include similar limitations of claim 23.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)